PUBLIC PERSPECTIVE ON THE REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS

USNRC/NRR REGULATORY INFORMATION CONFERENCE MARCH 14, 2001 W-2 REACTOR OVERSIGHT PROCESS

Raymond G. Shadis NECNP STAFF ADVISOR Shadis@ime.net

NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION

P.O. Box 545, BRATTLEBORO, VERMONT 05302

To enhance public confidence in the ROP, NRC must demonstrate that:

- public expectations of service are being met, that is, NRC is an independent, impartial, and vigorous enforcer of protective regulations, and
- 2. past assurances regarding nuclear power safety are intact, or at least not being discarded without good reasons that are articulated widely, loudly, and clearly.

NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION

P.O. Box 545, BRATTLEBORO, VERMONT 05302

The ROP will not be acceptable to the public interest community until:

1. There is reasonable assurance that the assumptions and information base on which it is built are solid.

Design

Modifications

Operation

Construction

Maintenance

Analysis

2. There are clear lines drawn for safety significance and enforcement.

Predictability

Timeliness

NEW ENGLAND COALITION ON NUCLEAR POLLUTION

P.O. Box 545, BRATTLEBORO, VERMONT 05302

The ROP is too complicated and it is shot through with uncertainties about what is actually being measured. Some ways the ROP may be tested and proven:

- 1. Against an extended period of experience
- 2. Against the next accident
- 3. Against a periodic "global safety assessment" of a representative sample population of power plants. This option would provide a somewhat empiric test that the ROP is measuring what it is designed to measure and that it is doing so reliably.